- 1. (a) We will disprove this by providing a counterexample Let p = 13p + 2 = 1515 = r * s where r = 3 and s = 5therefore, since neither r nor s equals 1, p is prime, but p+2 is not prime (b) Let m and n both be even, thus m-n and m+n can be written as m - n = 2k - 2l = 2(k - l)m + n = 2k + 2l = 2(k + l)thus, m - n and m + n are both even. Now, let m and n both be odd, thus m-n and m+n can be written as m - n = (2k + 1) - (2l + 1) = 2(k - l)m + n = (2k + 1) + (2l + 1) = 2(k + l + 1)thus, m+n and m-n are both even. now, let m be odd and n be even m - n = (2k + 1) - 2l = 2(k - l) + 1m + n = (2k + 1) + 2l = 2(k + l) + 1thus m-n and m+n are both odd. This proves that for all integers m and n, m-n and m+n are either both odd or both even
 - (c) We can disprove by providing a counter-example

Let x = 5.3 and y = 4.8 $\lfloor 5.3 \rfloor - \lfloor 4.8 \rfloor = 5 - 4 = 1$ $\lfloor 5.3 - 4.8 \rfloor = \lfloor 0.5 \rfloor = 0$ thus disproving this claim

- (d) If we let x be even, it can be written as x = 2k for some integer k then, $(2k)^2 - (2k) - 3$ $4k^s - 2k - 3 = 2(2k^2 - k - 2) + 1$ so with x being even, $x^2 - x - 3$ is odd now let x be odd and written as x = 2k + 1then $(2k + 1)^2 - (2k + 1) - 3$ $= 4k^2 + 4k + 1 - 2k + 1 - 3 = 4k^2 + 2k - 1$ $= 2(k^2 + k - 1) + 1$ thus when x = 2k + 1 $x^2 - x - 3$ is odd which proves the statement
- (e) let m be any even natural number, we can write it as

$$\begin{split} &m=2k\\ &m^7=(2k)^7\\ &m^7=2^7*k^7\\ &m^7=2(2^6*k^7)\\ &\mathrm{so}\ m^7=2l\ \mathrm{where}\ l=(2^6*m^7)\ \mathrm{thus}\ \mathrm{proving}\ \mathrm{that}\ \mathrm{if}\ m\ \mathrm{is}\ \mathrm{an}\ \mathrm{even}\ \mathrm{natural}\ \mathrm{number},\ \mathrm{then}\\ &m^7\ \mathrm{is}\ \mathrm{also}\ \mathrm{even}. \end{split}$$

2. $d|a \rightarrow d|ax$ because if d divides a, then a is a multiple of d. So for any x, ax is still a multiple of d. This logic can be applied similarly to $d|b \rightarrow d|by$ then, $d|a \wedge d|b \rightarrow d|(a + b)$ because $d|a \rightarrow dq = a$ $d|b \rightarrow dk = b$ a + b = dq + dk = d(q + k) thus d|(a + b)

finally, $d|ax \wedge d|by \rightarrow d|(ax + by)$

- 3. given x, y, z if x y is odd, and y z is even, then they can be written as: x - y = 2k + 1 for some integer k and y - z = 2l for some integer lnow, we will isolate x and z to test the proof. x = 2k + y + 1 and z = -2l + ythen, x - z = 2k + y + 1 + 2l - y = 2(k + l) + 1 thus, x - z = 2n + 1 where n = (k + 1)therefore x - z is odd
- 4. To prove that if r is irrational, then $r^{\frac{1}{t}}$ is also irrational, we will prove the contrapositive. Start by assuming that $r^{\frac{1}{t}}$ is rational so $r^{\frac{1}{t}} = z$ such that $z \in \mathbb{Q}$ since $z \in \mathbb{Q}$, $z = \frac{a}{b}$ such that $b \neq 0$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ $r^{\frac{1}{t}} = z$ $(r^{\frac{1}{t}})^t = z^t = r$ $r = (\frac{a}{b})^t = \frac{a^t}{b^t}$ where t > 0thus $b^t \neq 0$ and $a^t, b^t \in \mathbb{Z}$ because a^t and b^t are just the product of integers

and therefore r is a rational number which proves the contrapositive